# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

**DIVISION TWO** 

THE STATE OF ARIZONA, *Appellant*,

v.

TINA MARIE STOLTENBERG, *Appellee*.

No. 2 CA-CR 2013-0212 Filed April 18, 2014

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County No. CR20123043001 The Honorable Javier Chon-Lopez, Judge

### **DISMISSED**

**COUNSEL** 

Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, Tucson By Amy S. Ruskin, Deputy County Attorney Counsel for Appellant

Lori J. Lefferts, Pima County Public Defender By Michael J. Miller, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson Counsel for Appellee

# STATE v. STOLTENBERG Decision of the Court

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Judge Miller authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Vásquez and Chief Judge Howard concurred.

\_\_\_\_

## MILLER, Judge:

- Pursuant to a plea agreement, Tina Stoltenberg was convicted of driving under the extreme influence of liquor and criminal damage. On May 6, 2013, the trial court suspended the imposition of sentence and placed Stoltenberg on a twenty-fourmonth term of probation and ordered her to serve two days in jail as a condition of probation. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-4032(5), the state filed a notice of appeal on May 29, 2013, twenty-three days after sentencing.
- The state acknowledges that whether its notice of appeal was timely depends on which of this court's decisions applied: *State v. Whitman*, 232 Ariz. 60, 301 P.3d 226 (App. 2013) or *State v. Montgomery*, 233 Ariz. 341, 312 P.3d 140 (App. 2013). We stayed this case until our supreme court could resolve the issue in *State v. Whitman*, No. CR-13-0201-PR (Apr. 9, 2014). The court vacated our earlier decision in *Whitman*, concluding the deadline to file a notice of appeal is measured from the sentencing date. *Whitman*, No. CR-13-0201-PR, ¶ 19. Therefore, the state's notice of appeal is untimely.
- ¶3 We dismiss this appeal due to lack of jurisdiction. *See State v. Littleton*, 146 Ariz. 531, 533, 707 P.2d 329, 331 (App. 1985) ("The filing of a timely notice of appeal is essential to the exercise of jurisdiction by this court.").